# **Appendix I: Environmental Justice Across the Mystic (EJAM): Summit Results**

The following is a summary of the issue areas discussed at the November 2003 EJAM Project Summit and the priority issues and potential actions suggested by participants.

# Land Use and Transportation

#### Additional Issues:

Regional equity and sustainability (incl. social equity, environmental health & economics)

Regional planning – need better metrics

Transit-oriented development

Mixed waterfront use – incl. transportation

Better bike/pedestrian access

Municipal infrastructure

Agricultural use

Air pollution – impact on public health

Outreach to immigrant communities

## **Priority Issues for Action Planning:**

Understanding the linkages: land use/transportation/public health/water quality Networking systems

Preserving natural spaces

## **Potential Actions:**

Role of regional organizations (MAPC, MyRWA, Boston MPO) (MPO not responsive to EJ issues – need systemic change, increase community representation)

Have state EJ policy apply to non-EOEA agencies – e.g., transportation and housing agencies

People in communities should have a say about how agencies work with the communities.

Standardize and improve the 37 land-use categories – include square footages, impervious surface

Identify, correlate and improve data sets: e.g., need data on vehicle miles traveled Help citizens use the data

Broaden MEPA review – consider regional impacts, use more metrics (vehicle miles added, total jobs added, total household units)

Training of municipal officials and community members

Balancing of quantitative and qualitative data – case studies needed

Funding for community groups and municipalities for technical assistance

Promulgate regulations to implement EJ policy

More accountability on projects – clear explanation of EJ outreach on all projects, how implemented and audited

Review criteria for transportation system expansion.

# Water Quality

#### Issues:

Understanding pollution sources – cause and effect

**CSOs** 

Salt pile

Boating as a pollution source

Trash, illegal dumping

Oil tanks on Chelsea Creek (recent oil spill)

Used oil disposal

Catch basins, sewage and storm drains

Illegal connections

Animal waste – dogs

Landfill in Woburn

Island End coal tar plant

Too much asphalt

# **Priority Issues for Action Planning:**

Characterizing pollution levels

Identifying pollution sources

Making monitoring information available to the public

Educating towns/decision makers about what has to happen to improve

Addressing CSOs

Shared expertise

#### **Potential Actions:**

#### Pollution and Pollution Sources:

Measure pollution – coordination needed to measure all types

Use community observations – e.g., Riverkeepers in NYC

Continued and expanded water quality monitoring

Identify an organization to play a communications role

#### CSOs:

Different parts of watershed have different polluters and levels of pollution

Focus advocacy on CSOs to get federal, state and local government action

Work on low-impact development

Stormdrain stenciling.

#### Highest Priorities:

Continued & expanded monitoring

Advocacy for government action on CSOs: lobbying, op eds, publicity around the

Mystic River movie

# **Exposures and Public Health**

#### Additional Issues:

Ingesting pollutants

Baseline public health data – what *are* the health problems?

Open space and recreational opportunities

Noise

Access to health care

Non-point source pollution

## **Priority Issues for Action Planning:**

How to figure out what's important?

Communication – synchronizing the information that exists, and simplifying so that community can use

## **Potential Actions:**

## **Defining Priorities:**

Public health NGO/clearinghouse

Community mapping – overlay health + pollutants + EJ; discover gaps & set priorities

Pilot program with Fed \$\$

Outreach to empower people, communities

Health center survey – survey public health infrastructure & organizations

Exposure & emissions research

Small grant-based local actions & participation => awareness & engagement (mini-RFPs)

Door-to-door concurrent survey & engagement

Use what we already know (e.g., EMAPCT, Faber)

#### Communication:

Distribute literature door-to-door

Web-site links

Communicate on 2 levels – technical and lay person (churches, city centers, day care, cable TV)

Political base